1896 97
192--.
(Municipal)

File No. 65

Serial Nos. 1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 11

Part B for April 1903.

SUBJECT.

Acquisition of certain lands for the General Hospital in the Bay City.

To The Chief Engineer of Bay

No 14984 dated 16.4.03.

Sl 11 Request him to dispose of and report the matter in re the amendment to the Improvement

WD 2431 GPE—20,000—14 6 20

No 813/9/16 of July 8th from the Resident in Mysore.
No 341 of 1/4 Augt 64 from the Officer Commanding Mysore Dn.
No 556 of 18th Sept 64 to the Superintendent, Nandidroog Dn.
No 328 of 15th Dec 64 to the Director General, Mysore Dn.
No 422 of 18th March 65 to the Asst Adjt Genl, Master General, Mysore Dn.
No 216 of 31st January 65 from Do.

Memo

The undermentioned buildings are to be acquired for the site of the proposed Hospital

(1) Delawar Hoosein & Co's Printwin and Venkat-adriah's houses. The lands on which these buildings now stand belong to the Military and formed part of the Artificers' lines and as such the builders have no right to the land as evidenced by the papers quoted in the margin.

The value of the buildings as now

estimated amounts
to Rs 7344/- On a vacant
land there are trees
the property of the
Janes and their v-
alue is estimated
at Rs 100/- the Janes
right to the trees has
been acknowledged
by Vice President-
Rao Bahadur M
C Srinivasa Charlu
The Poor House and
the other adjoining
vacant lands are
Municipal properties
and no Compensation
need be paid

Thanking Chairman

I remain to the yours
obedient servant

present Chuttram
was the old Bagay
№ 339 of Kasba —
Thiglarpete. The extent
was 8 Kudo & ½ pady
(33 Guntas and 23. yds)
It is clear from the
Taluq records that
in the year 1854 —
Chowbine Ammimdeen
purchased from
Pillappah a portion
of the Bagayet №
measuring 3 Kudas
and 10 padis (14 Guntas
and 48 yds.) Assess-
-ment Rs 6. 12. 10 In
1865 the same Ammi-
-mdeen purchased
the remainin. por-
-tion of the number

containing 4 kuchas
and 6½ pachis/18 guntas
and 2½ yds/ (1 pecas-
-ment Rs 15-0-4. This
Chittaram was sold
to Chawkins Chabo
Briyal in the year
1892 and in the
Registered sale deed
the extent mentioned
is as follows. —

East to West — 198 ft
North to South — 192 ft

In 1892 Nemprama-
purchased the Chitt-
-taram from Chatuo
Briyal and the sale
deed gives the extent
as follows. —

East to West - 310 ft
North to South - 218 ft
Circumference 920 ft

Kempanna does not satisfactorily account for the discrepancy in the extent of the measurement in the several documents.

The old Bijwari gives an area of 33 Guntas and 23 yds. and the present area covered by the building is 5355 sq. yds. or 1 acre, 4 Guntas and 31 yds. This increase is not satisfactorily explained by Kempanna.

Previous to 1871 the license for building was being granted

by the Taluq Amuldar.
He has been written
to on the subject.
Copy of the yadi is
annexed In the ab-
sence of satisfactory
document Kempu-
anna or the pre-
vious owners under
the circumstances
should be presumed
to have encroached
upon the vacant
land comprising the
Artificers lines. The
Chuttram building
as estimated by the
Executive Engineer
amounts to Rs 7092/-
Compensation has to
be paid for the
land

according to the ori-
-ginal document
i.e. 33 Guntas & 23 yds.

The District Court
awarded a Com-
-pensation of Rs 1000/-
per acre for Appajahs
land in the vicinity
and adding 5% of
the award in con-
-sideration of the
situation of the Chut-
-tram, the amount
payable at Rs 1500/-
per acre would be
Rs 1286/-

No offer was ever made
to Ramsamma for the
Chuttram. The offer
made to his predece-
-sor not having been

accepted we are now
at perfect liberty
to withdraw it.

Details of Compensa-
tion to be paid.—

A. Mr. Tuthins house

Rs
J. rees

B Venkatadrias trees

C Delawar Hussains

D Value of trees
to Mr. Jones

Kempanna's
Chuttram
land.

Rs 1399
43
Rs 508
8
Rs 386

Rs 100

Rs 7092

Rs 1256

Total Rs. 10722

Mr. Handy appears for Mr. Tuthins. Plaintiff has been interrogated by him & he says he will withdraw the Plaint and communicate the result in 3 days. 14.6.

B. ... 22.5.96.

Rs 516/ Offered to Venkatadri & refused. Case proceeds. He declines to take it. 22.5.96.

C Delawar Hussain present. He consents to receive 386 in the building. 22.5.96.

D. Not come. No talkat.

E

Kempanna is present. Rs 10000-00 Offered by Mr. Nicholson for the land & buildings & the mesne profits from 1st Jan. 96 and the demolition thereof & Kempanna. He declines to receive the same. 22.5.96.

Mr. Nicholson

5th March 96.

Since returned. V.
for Manager's letter of 7th
Inst

Ext. No. 640 Bangalore 27th May 1896

From

Peter Dey

J. W. Hardy

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore

Sir

With reference to Compensation offered to me for trees for his house, the following is what I have quoted from his letter time.

"Please tell the Deputy Commissioner that I respectfully beg to decline to

-ept this offer, but I
am prepared to accept
a pecuniary equivalent in money
which fetches me an annual
interest of Rs 124.
the Government rate
interest.
a house realises Rs 1444
annually, and I have
settled Rs 20. for assess-
ment and repairs, if the
municipality think this
high, let it be decided
by arbitration. As an old
servant of H. Majesty's
I think I have earn-
ed to a little more
consideration at their
hands, and I trust they
will kindly reconsider
our offer, as I am anxious

"Poor man with a large
family, and the house
is the only provision
I have made for them.

I remain
Your obliged & servt.
L. W. Hardy

W. Sullivan esqr
Ashford
27 Sept

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Bangalore

Most honoured Sir

I the humble petitioner Delwar Hussain most humbly beg to bring the following few lines to your honour's kind and favourable consideration.

I received your honour's Notice issued on the 16th Instant and understood the contents.

I beg to state that I possess no property, except the one which is granted the purpose of building Hospital

As I am an actioneer the people does place any trust in me if I am deprived of the one which I possess

I am aware that I cannot use the signature from taking the [illegible]

I humbly request your honour
to grant me in addition to Compensation,
a portion of land, about 2ac: behind M. Rudrappa's
garden which is a portion of the tank, which
I cultivate by spending the Compensation
money, and pay Kandayam for the Govt.

In Conclusion I humbly request to consider
all these and comply with my wishes

The land in question is worth 1000/- Rs.
and was asked by Mr. Narayana Row the City
Amildar of this place for 375/- Rs and a
portion of land too.

I beg to Remain
Sir
Your most obedient & Humble
Servant

(Illegible)

Overseer and Shop Keeper
City Market Bangalore

13th May 1896
Bangalore

12

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

Subject.

Letter No. 220 of 29.4.03 from the Govt. Advocate submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 192-2-7

Note.

In the matter of Compensation claimed by Komti Venkatappa Chetty and two others on account of their chattram and the land taken up for the extension of the Compound of the Victoria Hospital the Sub Judge awarded in their favour a decree against which an appeal was preferred to the Chief Court. The Chief Court Confirmed the decree with a slight modification and decreed that Rs 1-8-0 per day should be allowed on account of the appeal.

3. Subject to be in red an o y o note in ac

numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

The Govt. Advocate

Rs 50/- as Advocate's fee &

Rs 142-2-7 as fee for hearing

of objections preferred by the

Counsel. The hearing of objection

was prepared by Govt.

and the Govt. Advocate

had only to file it in the

Court. His claim on this

account does not therefore

seem allowable. There can

be only one claim for

Advocate's fee in connection

with one suit. While work

is the case it is a question

whether different fees

can be claimed in the present

case, one for conducting

the appeal & another for

hearing of objections in

connection with the decree

appeal, prepared by Govt.

and for which he would be

seen to have taken all

in his part by Govt. Advocate.

Govt. Advocate seems

to have been paid for his

having conducted the suit

in

in the Concept Court of Appeals
decree and the decree
herein entitled Court of Appeals
in the appellate Court and the
Court remanded the cause in
the decree and decree be
that be and the party do cause
hereon Court in the appeal

Solicitors
for orders.

1/-
2 5 - 15

read

Sir Subramania Rao has since seen me & given me his views dated 5th March 96. It shows that the title of the Chalvatam is an agricultural land & has been valued accordingly, while the other lands are a part of military grounds & have not therefore been valued. The calculation would seem quite liberal. The difference between the actual area of Chalvatam land, & the area mentioned in the documents relied upon by Kempanna, is not much. Kempanna may be right for it at the same rate as for the rest of that land. It is not mentioned whether Conditions

18

Kemparaman might
be this small bit
of land. Q.
6-7-96

Klaus order.

" I agree. If the
parties concerned
don't accept the
award, let the matter
go to court under
the Land Acquisition
act. Early steps should
be taken to have
the question agreed -
- Mediation finally settled.

The statement
made in para 5 of
the Dy Comm letter is
an unfounded state
-ment. Such serious
statements are quite
out of place in an
official communication. It should not be made
in the future copy - The
Dy Comm should explain -
it in his letter itself.
6-7-96 Q.

15/6/97 from
Offrs Barrs.

Noted to Secty.

The correspondence does not contain such stuff the disposal of the question.

Of the 3 buildings noted in the margin which are req for the construction of the Victoria Hospital, it is pop that the Incurables Ward is in utter disrepair and is not by the Municipality, and there appears no reason why the Municipality should claim compensation for it.

Incurables Ward
ended Warehouse
Branch Dispensary.

The Ended Warehouse is pop being used by the Municip It may be ascertained at whose cost the building was con and whether any and what compensation has to be paid the Municipality on the account.

The Branch Dispensary will not it is stated, be required to the new Hospital is built and the Executive Engr states that of another building is begun it may be built on the

Standard plan from Provincial, Dist and Municipal Funds.

It seems under the circs necessary to ascertain

(1) by whom and at whose cost these buildings were originally constructed

(2) how they came into the possession of the Municipality and on what terms, and

(3) what is the present estimated cost of each.

23/6/97

Yes - also ask
1st Dy Jour
4 - quote "the
several restrictions"
referred to in
para 2 of his
letter - C

24/6/97

1/1/1

23

Gent Secy

Enquire whether the
Collector has made an
award and if so to the
Amt of it with particulars
and how the Monies
proposes to meet the
Cost of acquisition.
The order of the Port is
to acquire the land
he will of Course
proceed I suppose
in his capacity of
Collector & keep the
Cost from the Monies
—
I wd. like to know
the Cost before possession
is taken
16.12.95 L

(186)

VL

The office ought to be able to say what amount exactly requires decision.

The present estimate may be sent to the Vice President for remarks & if there is nothing to object to it, it may be passed over.

Congratulate for the V. Hospl.

H

25/7/[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. Regarding the payment of the compensation due to the Bangalore City Municipality on account of the old Cutcherri Kotè and other buildings, belonging to the Board, taken up for the Victoria Hospital in the city of Bangalore.

Note. Letter No. 191 of 24.2.08 from the President, City Municipality Bangalore.

The P. W. Dept. in Mysore acquired and included in the Victoria Hospital compound, certain buildings such as Bonded warehouse, dispensary etc., belonging to the City Municipality of Bangalore thereupon the Municipality claimed Rs 7496/- by way of compensation for the lands and structures thereon, taken up by the P. W. D. The Engineering agency got the Buildings in

a) Action wanted to be noted on
b) Subject to be in red and body o

4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

question, valued depre-
mentally and offered
to pay a sum of Rs 507
as compensation for the
structures. The Board
regretted their inability
to accept the offer as the
figure was much below
the amount claimed
by them and desired
an early settlement of
the matter. While the
was under correspon-
dence the it was sugges-
ed by the P.W.D that
the matter could be
settled ~~[illegible]~~.
provided the Board
agreed to receive Rs 60
The Municipality de-
murred, at the outset
to agree to the proposal
and eventually ex
pressed, that they
would be satisfied
with Rs 600/-
At this stage the Chief
Engineer was requested
in his office No 6823 & 28.10.01 (7 K)
GT 42-97

to settle the Boards claim for R.R. book. It is nearly one year and a half since the matter was disposed of and referred to the Chief Engr. for adjustment. Why the matter is still pending and how it stands has to be ascertained from the R.W.D. as it is reported by the President of the Municipality - the claim has not yet been paid & that the same should be adjusted soon -

May the Chief Engr. be asked moved to look to the early disposal and to report ?

Submitted

DSB
2/4/03

Yes. Please put up a draft
HL 2/4/04

Draft sent 3.4.03
DSB

Subject to Sect HL
3/4/03

OFF 11410

1696- 18. JUN. 1897

DEWA Jus: 342

From The Dy C
Bangalore Dis

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Dept
Bangalore

Dated 15th June 97

Despatched 16th June 97

Received

General No.

D No. 1297.

Enclosure No. 5.

Submits copies of correspondence regarding the taking over of certain buildings for the construction of a new General Hospital in the Bangalore City at a Comp of Rs 7496/- with suggestions.

Mund 65-96-1

18/6/97

D. No 1297.
Encs 5

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Dated 15th June 1897
No.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to state, in connection with the construction of a new General Hospital in the Bangalore City that the City Municipal Institution has addressed requesting the acquisition of certain buildings comprised within the required area. The President in council has made a claim for a compensation of Rs 7496, as per Board's resolution, a copy of which is enclosed.

2. There are several instances in which land having been taken up for Government purposes from the Municipality without compensation, and as the structures in question were taken up for "Government purposes,

(1) Ineligible Board
(2) " Board war horse
(3) " Branch Dispensary.

40

purpose, I think the claim for compensation is inadmissible. The Municipal Board ought to remember that Government has granted all that it possesses without cost, and there should in my opinion be no objection on the Board's part to giving up free what is required for the new Hospital. The amount claimed further appears to me to be excessive.

3. Copies of the marginally noted* communications in the matter are enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
W Macnamara
Deputy Commissioner.

Wm

2. No 39
3. No 1546 dated 4th June/97 from — do —
4. No 2496 — 4 — 5th June/97 from the Sec: Engineers
793

No. 1352/435

Executive Engineer's Office
Bangalore Division
Bangalore, 2nd April 1897.

From

The Executive Engineer
Bangalore Division

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 595 dated 21st September last in regarding the acquisition of certain houses and lands for the proposed General Hospital at Bangalore, I have the honor to request the favour of being informed whether I can now enter the following property as orders have been received to start operations in connection with the construction of the Hospital.

(a). House belonging to Dilvar Hussain for which compensation

of Rs. 386 is said to have been offered and accepted by the owner.

(b). A plot of land containing certain trees of which a Mr. Jones is said to be the owner and of which no "takrar" is said to exist.

(c).

(1). The Incurables' ward.

(2). Bonded warehouse.

(3). Branch Dispensary. This will be entered upon later on.

2. An early reply will oblige.

I have &c.

/Signed/ B. S. Venkatachariar
Executive Engineer
Bangalore Division.

Copy

[illegible]

for Deputy Commissioner

33

189

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To
The President
The Municipal Corporation,
Bangalore.

Dated 9th April 1897.

Despatched

Received

General No.
M No. 593

Enclosure No

Has the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. 1352/438 dated 2nd Instt from the Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division, and to enquire whether there is any objection to the Department Public works entering upon the property (C) referred to therein.

Signed V. K. Narasimmayengar
Dy Commissioner.

Copy
[illegible]
Ex. Dy. Commissioner
[illegible]

ct from Proceedings of the Board
dated 27th May 1897.

× × ×

8. Letter No. 1977-629, dated 8th June 189,
from the Executive Engineer, Bangalore
Division, requesting the Municipality to
vacate and make over the following —
buildings for constructing the New
General Hospital: —
1. The Incurables' Ward 2. Bonded Warehouse
3. Branch Dispensary.

Resolution. As suitable arrange-
-ments will have to be made for these
buildings elsewhere they may be
handed over on receipt of Rs 7496/-
their estimated value.

× × ×

sd H. N. Sparkes
"True Extract" Chairman

sd D. A. Chokse
Asst: President Municipal
Commission
Bangalore City.

"Copy"
[illegible]
For Dy Commissioner
by

From

The President,
Bangalore City Municipality

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore

Dated 4th June 1897.
No. 1546.

Enclosure 1.

His Docket No. M. 593 dated 10th April 1897

I has the honor to forward for information copy of Resolution of the Board No 8 dated 27th May 1897, and to state that as suitable arrangements will have to be made for locating (1) the Incurables' ward (2) Bonded Warehouse and (3) Branch Dispensary elsewhere these will be handed over on payment of Compensation.

of Rs 7496/- their estimated value as detailed below-

		Rs
(1)	The Incurables' ward	659
(2)	Bonded Warehouse	4010
(3)	Branch Dispensary	2222
(4)	Latrine near the Bonded Warehouse	605
	Total Rs	7496.

sd/ D. A. Choksi
Asst. President Municipal
Commission,
Bangalore City.

"Copy"

[illegible]
For Dy Commissioner.

[illegible]

From

The Executive Engineer,
Bangalore Division.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated 5th June 1897

No. 2496/793

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with City Municipal President's No. 1545 of the 3rd current, intimating that Incurables' ward, Bonded Warehouse and Branch Dispensary buildings, will be handed over on payment of Compensation of Rupees 7496, I have the honor to request the favor of expression of your opinion on the subject; and to state, that the Incurables' ward are not worth the valuation (Viz Rupees 6[illegible]9) mentioned; and they were not being used as such, either owing to the utter disrepair or of the nonexistence of any necessity, for

Item. 38

II. The Branch Dispensary will, as a matter of course, not be required when the General Hospital is built, and if it is decided to locate it elsewhere, a new building, as per standard plan, may be constructed with Provincial District and Municipal Funds.

I have &c.

sd/ B.S. Venkatachariar
Executive Engineer
Bangalore Division.

"Copy"

M Kumara Rao
For Dy Commissioner.

bm

Mund 65 96-2

1897

12

To,

The Dy Comm^r

B. Bangalore Distt

Dated 28th June 1897,

No 13833

Mun 2741

His letter No 1297 dated the 15th instant, reporting that the Bangalore City Municipality claim a Compensation of Rs: 7,496 on account of the under-mentioned buildings which are required for the Con-struction of a new General Hospital in the Bangalore City.

(1) Incurable Ward
(2) Bonded Ware House
(3) Branch Dispensary

With reference to the above, has the honor to request that he will be so good as to furnish information on the following points ——

(1) By whom and at whose Cost the buildings

in question were originally constructed?

(2) How they came into the possession of the Municipality and on what terms, and

(3) what is the present estimated cost of each of these buildings.

2. The several instances referred to in para 2 of his letter under reply, of land having been taken by for Govt purposes from the Municipality without compensation should also be quoted

By order

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure *No.*

Put to order
26.6.97
for Secy.
25/6/97

misc. 2931

9514
27 01
189

MYSORE. P. W. DEPARTMENT

From The Chief Engineer, of Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Govt. Genl & Rev. Depts.

Dated Bangalore, 25th Febry 1901.

Despatched

No. 1037

Enclosure No Two

SUBJECT.

Recommending the acceptance of the valuation on the subject of Compensation for the Bonded Warehouse and Dispensary buildings in the Compound of the Victoria Hospital, Bangalore.

Subject to Secty Finance Dept ship put up 15/3/01

MYSORE P.

No. 1037 42

CENTRAL OFFICE.

Bangalore, 25th October 1884

From The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue and General

SIR,

In forwarding the enclosed copy of letter No: 492 of 16th Instant from the Superintending Engineer Eastern Circle and a precis of Correspondence on the subject of Compensation for the Bonded Warehouse and Dispensary buildings which were included in the Compound of the Victoria Hospital, Bangalore, I have the honor to recommend the acceptance of the valuation, Rs 5070 fixed by Mr Lance, which seems fair.

I have the honorable
Sir
Your most
obedient
servant
Captain
For Chief Engineer

belonged to Govt, to the Municipality & many Compensation as regards its claim at 5 per cent Cost. I Consider the allotted inadmissible

Amount that I think Municipality is well entitled to is as noted below -

Warehouse Rs 3,209 ✱
— Rs 1,540 ✱
Rs 150
Movable ward Rs 200
Total Rs 5,070

These figures are as per valuation of the Municipality during value of sites and Contingencies -

The Number under reply with its enclosures, as also no 74 submitted received on the subject -

I have &c.
Sd: S. H. Kanne
Supty: Engr E. Circle

True Copy

[illegible]
- Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

19

From

The Superintending Engr
Eastern Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
of Mysore.

Dated 12th February 01.

Despatched

Received

General No. 492 ... } 190 .
No.

Enclosures Number.

Sir.

In reply to your endorsement No: 5173 of 30th October 00, forwarding for any observations I may have to offer, Bangalore Deputy Comrs No. 731 of 28th idem, stating how he has based his claim for Compensation for the Municipal buildings required by this Department for Extending the Compound of the Victoria Hospital, I beg to make the following observations.

Bonded Ware-House

The amount claimed is Rs 4010. It includes value of the sites (Rs 6111) and Contingencies (Rs 190).

whereas in my valuation they were not included they are disallowed in the Municipal valuation the amount will not differ much from mine ? - i.e. (Rs 3395).

Dispensary

Ditto Rs 2,240. This includes, as in the other case, Rs 577 as value of the site, and Rs 105 on account of Contingencies If they are disallowed, the amount comes to Rs 1,540 or very nearly to my valuation, viz Rs 1,488.

Incurable ward, designated "Poor House" in the Municipal Estimate of valuation -

I understand this building was almost in ruins at the time it was dismantled by the Department. The Municipality wants for it Rs 659, of which Rs 338 is for the building itself at 1 Rupee per Sqr. ft. Rs 300, as value of site, and Rs 21 on account of one well and some trees! I hardly think from its description given by the Executive Engineer, the building could be worth more than Rs 200.

Latrine

Materials of this building were handed over to the Municipality after it was dismantled, but a receipt for them was not obtained at the time. This now obtained and submitted by the Executive Engineer. On Enumeration it is found that the number of tiles acknowledged is 500 only, whereas at least ten times that number must have belonged to the building. Enquiries on this point will be made - The Municipality may be paid Rs 150 as value of the walls, flooring and cisterns.

2. The sites on which these buildings stood must have

E.S. Question of Compensation for the Bonded Ware and the Dispensary buildings on the Compound of the Victoria Hospital, Bangalore.

In his No: 3111 dated 21-8, the Superintending Engineer, Eastern Circle, reported the Municipal buildings (1) Bonded ware house & (2) Dispensary, standing on the ground intended to be enclosed by a Compound wall for the Victoria Hospital, would have to be acquired, but no Compensation for the same seemed to have been fixed by the Rev: Department, while preparing a statement for other buildings that had to be acquired. No provision for Compensation for the buildings referred to, was made in the Estimate; as Deputy Commissioner Mr. V N. Narasimha Iyengar, recorded his opinion that "these buildings being

Municipal

Municipal property of Bakkers
head there no Compensation
is payable therefor", but the
present Deputy Commissioner
was not disposed to give them
up before Rs 7,496 was paid
as Compensation for them.
As an alternative the Deputy
Commissioner suggested that
if the Govt would Construct
for the Municipality a new
Bonded warehouse, they would
have no objection to effect
an exchange of buildings.
Mr Harris proposed, as an
equitable arrangement,
to pay Rs 6,000, the probable
original Cost of the Construc-
tion of the buildings, and was
of opinion that they should
be acquired as they obstructed
the view of the Hospital —
Thereupon, the Dy. Comr was
asked to state the arrangement
under which Mr Narasimham
had reported that no Compen-
sation would be payable for
these buildings, and whether
such a report was made with
the approval of the Municipal
and Council of it, but a reply
was requested by them. He
also requested to be

also requested to be

of the Municipal Board would accept Rs 6,000/ C.o. Compensation for these -ings, in case they did not to the original arrangement. Vide this office No: 3730 d/25th August 1900 -

The offer of Rs 6,000 as Compen -sation was subsequently altered to Rs 4,500 as Mr Karve reported that his first Esti- -mate was incorrect, his Calculations having been based upon the area covered by these buildings and that a closer inspection of the same and the materials used in them had made him modi -fy the estimate & the proba -ble cost of their Construction to Rs 4,500 only. Vide S. E. E Circles No: 3444 d/12-9-00, which was accordingly intimated to The Dy: Commissioner

In reply to the above, the Dy: Comr forwarded a Copy of letter No: 270 of 17-9 00 from the Municipal President, stating that the remark by Mr Narasimhaiengar, Dy that no Compensation was payable for the buildings, in town and not of the same

H. claimed a compensation of Rs 7,496 as particularized below, when the question came up before them.

(1) Insurable ward Rs 659
(2) Bonded warehouse Rs 4,010
(3) Fort Dispensary Rs 2,222
(4) Latrine near the warehouses } 605

Total Rs 7,496

In support of the above, Extract of recorded resolution of the Municipal Board was also submitted - Vide Dy. Comrs No: 5346/20-9-00.

In the mean time, the Senior Surgeon having represented that these buildings should be removed early, the Dy. Comr had given permission to pull them down, pending the settlement of Compensation - Vide Dy. Comrs No 5588 of 22-9-00.

Mr Keane was requested to submit a regular valuation statement for the 4 Municipal buildings referred to by the President, which he did with his No 3873 of 11-10-00. The valuation of the Bonded warehouse was made out by taking out quan-

.. also requested to take

Notice from Contract-
-ment, and the Cost
Rs 3395 against Rs 4010
claimed by the Municipality
and that of the Dis
was not prepared as the
-ing was already dismantled,
but that according this Es-
-timate at the rate Rs 1/8 per
Sq: ft. of Covered area, it
amounted to Rs 1488 against
Rs 2222, demanded by the Mun
-icipality, which he did
not Consider reasonable,
that the Incumbents house
was in a dilapidated state
when it was dismantled
in 1897 and was hardly
Rs 200/ that such of the mate-
-rials of the latrine as could
be utilized, were removed
by the Municipality, leaving
bare walls, which were dis-
-mantled 2 years ago by the
Ry: Engr, and that it was
necessary to ascertain how
the Municipality fixed the
valuation of the two last
items at Rs 659 and 605, res-
pectively-

The above was forwarded
to the Dy: Comr with this Office No
4923 dated 17-10-00, with a re
-quest to intimate how the Mun
-cipal Board fixed the
valu

valuation of the Incurance Ward and Latrine at Rs 659 and 605, and whether they were prepared to accept the valuation fixed by Mr Kaine for the Bonded warehouse and the Dispensary.)

In reply the Deputy Comr: stated that the Municipal Board based its claim for Compensation for the above buildings on the estimates prepared by its overseer, which were submitted with his No: 731 d/23d Octr 1900, and these were forwarded to the Supty: Engr: for any observations he might wish to make, before submitting the case to Government for orders.

With his No. 492 dated 16-2-01, Mr Kaine submits the following observations -

Bonded warehouse

Amount claimed by Municipality Rs 4010. In this, the value of site is included, viz Rs 610 and Contingencies Rs 190. Total Rs 800. Mr Kaine's estimate is Rs 3195.

Dispensary: Municipal estimate Rs 2,240, in which Rs 577

for site and Rs 105 for Contin-
gencies are included. Mr.
Kane's estimate is Rs 1488. Mr.
Kane says that if the value
of site and amount of Contin-
gencies, provided for in both
the estimates be disallowed,
his valuation of these two
buildings very nearly
that of the Municipal Board.
Municipal estimate of the
Immovable board Rs 338 for the
building at Rs 1 per Sq ft. Rs 300
for site and Rs 21 for one well
and some trees total Rs 659.
The building having been
in ruins at the time it was dis-
-mantled and from the
-tion given of the same by the
Executive Engineer, Mr Kane
thinks that the building can
hardly be worth Rs 200/
Latrine. The materials of
this building having been
given by the Municipality after
its dismantlement, Mr
is of opinion that Rs 150 may
be paid, as the value of walls,
flooring and Cisterns.

This further stated that as
the sites of these buildings
should have originally be-

(contd

53

1897-02

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To The President of the
Bangalore City Municipality

Dated 29th } July 97.
Despatched
Received

General No. 1653
~~His No. 402~~ 97

Enclosure No. 3

Sir,

Referring to your letter No. 1546 dt 4th June 1897 to the address of the Dy Commissioner Bangalore, (received in this office with the Dy Comr's letter no 1297 dt 15/16 June 1897) regarding the compensation to the Municipality claimed by ~~you~~ for certain municipal buildings taken for the use of Victoria Hospital, I am directed to enclose, an original letter No. 1057 dt 25th Feb 97/101 from the Chief Engineer in

in response together with
ill his remarks
on the subject of com-
pensation of the landowners
referred to, and to
request the favour of
being informed of
your views in
the matter.
The enclosures may be kindly
returned B.O.

Yours etc
26/7/01
[signature]

26/7/01.

12 9 01 53 1898-99. 1071

3491
12-9-01

From,

THE PRESIDENT

Bangalore City Municipality

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Departments,

BANGALORE.

Dated

Despatched 9 September 1901

Received

General No. 70
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Secretary's letter No 1653 / F.7.424-97 dt the 29th July 1901 forwarding for favour of Chief Engineer's No 1037 dt 15th February 1901 on the subject of Compensation payable to the Municipality on acct of Cochrane Block to be taken up for the Victoria Hospital.

In cancelling sanction of the Board as requested in the ...

56

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

No. 76 City Bangalore, 5th September 1901

From

The President, Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departmt
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 165 3/7 / 2.7420.97 dated the 29th July 1901 regarding the payment of compensation to the Municipality for the old Octroi Kotis & other buildings acquired for the Victoria Hospital Compound, I have the honor to state that the amount of Compensation as now proposed by the Superintending Engineer viz. Rs. 70,000 is far below the sum originally claimed by the Board, the difference

difference between the two. being so much as Rs 2426/-. Considering the present financial condition of the Municipality and the urgent necessity to build a new Octroi Kati at a heavy out-lay the Board feel themselves unable to accept the Superintending Engineer's last proposal referred to above. They are however prepared to accept the sum of Rs 6000/- promised by the Chief Engineer in his letter No 3730 dated the 25th August 1900 to the address of the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore, a copy of which is herein enclosed for reference The resolution of the Board dated 30th August 1901 is also submitted. I request.

That

56

That the Compensation of £2000 may be sanctioned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed. Servant

Master Gentleman

President

WEG

Municipal
resident for very
early reply.

s/ B. K. Venkatarangaiya
Dr Samuel.

Copy"

Municipal Dept
for President

W.S.G.

189

FROM

The Chief Engineer
in Mysore

To

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore D

Dated

Despatched 25 August 1900

Received

General No. 3730
No.

Enclosure No. (65-91-6
M.C.W.

Sir

With reference to
Correspondence end-
-ing with your No 1320
of the 2nd May last,
to the address of the
Executive Engineer,
Bangalore Division
on the subject of the
acquisition of the bond-
-ed Warehouse and
Municpl Dispensary
in the proposed Com-
-pound of the Victoria
Hospital at Bangalore,
I have the honour to
request that you will

be so good as to explain the circumstances under which Mr. V. K. Narasimmiah, former Dy. Comm^r, reported in para 8 of his memo dy 22-5-96 (received with his No 595 dy 29-9-96 to the Chief Engineer) that as the buildings referred to were Municipl property, "no compensation is payable therefor," and if this report was made with the approval of the Municipal Board why it is now repudiated by them.

If however, the Board will not adhere to the above original arrangement, I am to enquire if they will now agree to accept Rs 6000/- as Compensation for these two buildings, this being the probable original Cost of their Construction as estimated by the Superintending Engineer Eastern Circle.

I have &c.
sd/ D. Campbell. Col
Chief Engineer

Bangalore District
Dy Commr's Office
27th August 1900.

R 377.

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded

to the

61 1898-99. Mis 1124

3665
18-9-97

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Bangalore City Municipality.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Mun 65-96-7

Dated 14

Despatched September 97

Received

General No. }
No. }

Enclosure No.

Secretary's docket No:
4417 / G.A.4x.97 Dt. the 10th September
97 regarding payment of
compensation for octroi
Koti toll municipal ½
buildings taken up for the
Victoria Hospital compound

Stating that the reports
in the matter were submitted
with this office letter No: 70
Dt. the 7th Instant

Office of the Municipal Commission,
City, Bangalore, 12th September 1901.

No. 81

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: 4417 dated the 10th September 1901, G 742-97

I have the honor to state that reply to your letter No: 1653 dated the 29th July 1901 was submitted with this Office letter No. 70 dated the 5th Instant.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant
Mumtaz Lowe
for President
1194

1907-02 (6)

The President of the
Bangalore City Municipality

No. 5103 / 42-99
Dt 17/21-9-07.

Enc

His letter No. 70 dt 5th Sept. 07
concerning the compensation amounts of certain municipal buildings taken up for the use of the Victoria Hospital, Bangalore.

Referring to the above has the honor to request the return of the original letter No. 1037 dt 25.2.07 of the Exec. Engineer in response with which was forwarded with the above letter No. 1623 dt 29th July 07.

Dy. Secretary

Incl. 65-96

29/15.07 [illegible]

Mund. 65-96-9

4069
25-9-01.

L 527
Mys-5249

From The President
City Munpl. Com

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysr

Dated
Despatched 23rd Genl & Rev: Depts
Bangalore
Received
General No. 1053 September 190
No.

Fro Docket No. 5103
G.T. 42-97
dated the 21st September 01.

Has the honour to return the Chief Engineer's letter No. 1037 d/ the 25th February 1901 Cal. -led for in the above with its enclosures.

Recorded Ray

Ex C.

myk

1907-02

(8)

To
The Chief Engineer
Singapore.

Genl 65-96-10

No 6823 Q 28-10-07.
Q 242-97 Encl
Enc:

Sir,

With refce to your letter No 1037 Q 25. July 07 recommending the acceptance of Rs 5070/- being the amount of compensation fixed by the Superintending Engr for the Municipal Bonded warehouse and Dispensary built taken up for the Victoria Hospital Compound, I am directed to state that on a refce on the subject being made to the Municipality, the Board have submitted the

Draft for approval.
To the 3rd Member
Comm.

1.10.01
(136)

22/10

Issue -

23/10

the resolution adopted
in the matter which
herewith forwarded for
your information.
From the previous
papers on the subject
it is learnt that the
amount originally
claimed by the Municipality
was Rs 74 96/- But at
that time the Chief
Engineer in his letter
No 3730 of 25-8-00 asked
the Dy Comr Bangalore Dist
if the Board would
agree to accept Rs 60000/-
as compensation for
the said building.
The non-settlement of
this question which
has been long pending
is believed to be due
to the unwillingness
of the Board to accept
even this offer.
However in reply

8/

to this Office refer) the
Board has to state
the amount of compensa-
tion as now proposed
by the superintending
Engr viz: R 5070 is far
below the sum ori-
ginally claimed, the
difference between
the two being so
much as Rs 2426/-)
that they therefore
feel unable to ac-
cept this low figure
considering the pre-
sent financial
condition of the
Board and the
urgent necessity
to build a new oc-
troi kote with a
heavy outlay in the
near future and
that they are
however prepared
to accept Rs 6000/

already promised
by the Chief Engineer
under him or
it seems equitable that
they should be com-
pensated at least to that
extent as, even that compromise
would not be very
favorable to him as
it would be in the
interests of the P.O. Dept.
The Board's present
claim which is a
reasonable one may
be accepted and settled.

I have the
honor to be
Sir
Your obt. Servt.
7/10

VSB

1903

Chief Engr
in mysore

Dated
No 14984 07/6/44/C3
~~1144/1267~~ C.F. 42-97
Enc:

Advertising
correspondence
ending with this
office no 6823 of 28
C.F. 42-97
Oct 1901, on the subject of the payment of compensation due to the City Municipality Bangalore, on account of certain municipal buildings taken up for inclusion in the compound of the Victoria Hospital Bangalore, - Fees the honour to request that, if the amount due to the said Municipality has not yet been paid as brought to notice

Mem 65-96-11

by the President of the City Municipality of Bangalore, the Chief Engineer will be good enough to see that this matter which is long pending is speedily disposed of and reported to Govt.

By order

3/4/03

Secretary

2nd 3/4/03

No. 14985 dtd 7/4/03

~~No. 2286~~

Ref. 42-97

(Copy of the above is forwarded to the President, City Municipality of Bangalore, with reference to his No. 191 dt 24th June 1903.

BO

Secretary

2nd 3/4/03